ration in the mixed valence salt IV. For TTF+, however, with its lower reduction potential than TSeF⁺,¹¹ an electron transfer reaction corresponding to eq 1 is not thermodynamically as favorable (Table I). Neutral TTF would not be present in this solution and therefore the stoichiometric 1:1 salt III is formed. Likewise, treatment of the radical cations with Cl⁻, which has a lower oxidation potential¹⁴ than Br-, leads to the formation of the 1:1 insulating TSeFCl salt V.15 However, we have found that the mixed valence chloride salts can be prepared from these donors when both neutral and cation species are present through partial electrochemical oxidation.^{6,16}

These results indicate that the redox chemistry of acceptor anions must be considered when using these species as synthetic reagents in conjunction with donor ion radicals. For example, the multiple TTF-iodide stoichiometries^{5,7,9a} that have been found and the inability to form a simple 1:1 iodide salt¹⁶ are undoubtedly related to the multiple, low energy redox states^{14,17} (I⁻, I_3^- , I_2) for the anions typically used. More importantly, it appears that electrochemical techniques offer unique synthetic and mechanistic tools to this new area of chemistry. As shown here, formation of a single specific product can be achieved via synthetic control over the stoichiometry of the reactants by appropriate choice of specific donor-halide acceptor pairs. In the absence of complicating factors (e.g., nonequilibrium conditions, large differences in solubility products, etc.) the relative redox potentials of organic donor and halide may be useful in predicting product stoichiometries. Furthermore, the electrochemical technique has also enabled us to prepare product mixtures which contain both the 1:1 and the structurally⁶ dissimilar mixed valence salt.¹⁸ Thus, the tendency to form particular solid-state stacking structures as a function of donor molecular properties can now be evaluated quantitatively.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank R. A. Craven for the conductivity measurements and B. A. Scott for numerous helpful discussions regarding donor-halide mixed valence solids.

References and Notes

- (1) (a) F. Wudl, G. M. Smith, and E. J. Hufnagel, *Chem. Commun.*, 1453 (1970); (b) F. Wudl, D. Wobschall, and E. J. Hufnagel, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., 94, 671 (1972).
- (2) J. Ferraris, D. O. Cowan, V. Walatka, Jr., and J. H. Perlstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 948 (1973).
- L. B. Coleman, M. J. Cohen, D. J. Sandman, F. G Yamagishi, A. F. Garito, and A. J. Heeger, *Solid State Commun.*, **12**, 1125 (1973).
 (4) (a) J. P. Ferraris, T. O. Poehler, A. N. Bloch, and D. O. Cowan, *Tetrahe-*
- dron Lett., 2553 (1973); (b) E. M. Engler and V. V. Patel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 7376 (1974); (c) K. Bechgaard, D. O. Cowan, and A. N. Bloch, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 937 (1974); (d) A. N. Bloch, D. O. Cowan, K. Bechgaard, R. E. Pyle, R. H. Banks, and T. O. Poehler, Phys. Rev. Lett., 34, 1561 (1975); (e) E. M. Engler and V. V. Patel, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 671 (1975); (f) R. Schumaker, M. Ebenhahn, G. Castro, and R. L. Greene, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 20, 495 (1975).
- (5) F. Wudl, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1962 (1975).
 (6) (a) B. A. Scott, J. B. Torrance, S. J. LaPlaca, P. Corfield, D. C. Green, and S. Etemad, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 20 496 (1975); (b) S. J. LaPlaca, P. W. R. Corfield, R. Thomas, and B. A. Scott, Solid State Commun., 17, 635 (1975); (c) S. J. Laplaca, J. E. Weidenborner, and D. C. Green, submitted for publication.
- (7) G. R. Johnson, D. J. Dahn, M. G. Miles, and J. D. Wilson, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 20, 466 (1975).
- (8) (a) Y. Tomkiewicz, B. A. Scott, L. J. Tao, and R. S. Title, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **32**, 1363 (1974); (b) J. E. Gulley and J. F. Weiher, *ibid.*, **34**, 1061 (1975); (c) J. C. Scott, A. F. Garito, A. J. Heeger, Phys. Rev. B, 10, 3131 (1974).
- (9) (a) R. J. Warmack, T. A. Callcott, C. R. Watson, and C. K. Johnson, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 20, 414 (1975); (b) J. B. Torrance Jr., F. B. Kaufman, and B. A. Scott, submitted for publication. (10) A solution ca. 5×10^{-4} M of donor in CH₃CN (0.1 M Et₄NCIO₄) was
- coulometrically oxidized at a constant potential equal to the average of the two one-electron donor half-wave potentials (TTF, 0.55 V; TSeF, 0.60 V vs. SCE) to form the cation quantitatively, and Bu₄NBr (two-fold excess) was added to precipitate the bromide salt. (11) (a) E. M. Engler, F. B. Kaufman, D. C. Green, C. E. Klots, and R. N.
- Compton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 2921 (1975); (b) D. L. Coffen, J. Q.

Chambers, D. R. Williams, P. E. Garrett, and N. D. Canfield, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 2258 (1971).

- (12) (a) T. Iwasita and M. C. Giordano, Electrochim. Acta, 14, 1045 (1969); (b) F. Magno, G. Mazzocchin, and G. Bontempelli, J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem., 47, 461 (1973).
- (13) R. S. Nicholson and I. Shain, Anal. Chem., 36, 706 (1964); A. J. Fry, 'Synthetic Organic Electrochemistry'', Harper and Row, New York, N.Y., 1972.
- (14) (a) J. C. Marchon, C.R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C, 267, 1123 (1968); (b) L. Sereno, V. A. Macagno, and M. C. Giordano, Electrochim. Acta, 17, 561 (1972).
- (15) Multiple phase products are easily formed unless particular care is exercised to ensure 100% oxidation and homogeneous mixing of TSeF and excess CI
- (16) B. A. Scott, D. C. Green, and R. Thomas, unpublished results.
- (17) V. A. Macagno, M. C. Giordano, and A. J. Arvia, Electrochim. Acta, 14, 335 (1969).
- (18) F. B. Kaufman, E. M. Engler, D. C. Green, and J. Q. Chambers, submitted for publication.

F. B. Kaufman,* E. M. Engler,* D. C. Green IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

J. Q. Chambers

Department of Chemistry, University of Tennessee Knoxville, Tennessee 37916 Received December 4, 1975

Bidentate and Monodentate Bonding Modes of the 1,3-Diaryltriazenido Ligand in Ruthenium(II) and Platinum(II) Complexes

Sir:

The ligands allyl $(R_2CC(R')CR_2)$, carboxylato (OC(R')O), amidino (RNC(R')NR), and triazenido (RNNNR), although isoelectronic, offer a variety of reaction chemistries and bonding arrangements in the presence of transition metals. Each is potentially either a one- or a three-electron donor to the metal. Of this series the latter two, namely amidino and triazenido, appear to have been studied least. Recent interest in these two ligands is apparent.¹⁻¹¹ A key to understanding the reaction chemistry is the mode of attachment of the ligand to the metal. On the basis of spectroscopic data, structures involving these ligands in monodentate, bidentate, and bridging bonding modes have been proposed. Yet interpretation of these spectroscopic data is not without controversy.^{1,2} Surprisingly few x-ray results are available for these metal-ligand systems. In $[Cu(dpt)]_2$ (dpt = 1,3-diphenyltriazenido),¹² $[Ni(dpt)_2]_2$,¹³ $[Pd(allyl)(dtt)]_2$ (dtt = 1,3-di-*p*-tolyltriazen-(do),³ [Cu(dmt)]₄ (dmt = 1,3-dimethyltriazenido),¹⁴ $RhCuCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2(dmt)$,⁸ $[Mo(dpb)_2]_2$ (dpb = N,N'diphenylbenzamidinato),¹⁰ and Re₂(dpb)₂Cl₄,¹¹ the ligand bridges metal centers. The bidentate bonding mode for triazenides has been confirmed structurally in Co(dpt)₃. $C_6H_5CH_3^{15}$ with the two terminal N atoms of each ligand bonded to Co. Although the monodentate mode of bonding for triazenides has been proposed 1,4,16 and disputed² on the basis of ir and NMR data, no structural example had previously been found. Here we report on the x-ray crystal structures of two triazenido complexes. $RuH(CO)(PPh_3)_2(dtt)$ and $cis-Pt(PPh_3)_2(dpt)_2$. The former shows the bidentate mode of bonding while the latter provides the first example of the monodentate mode of bonding.

Orange crystals of $RuH(CO)(PPh_3)_2(dtt)$ were obtained by recrystallization of material kindly provided by Dr. S. D. Robinson. The space group is $P\overline{1}$ with a = 14.074 (2), b =15.264 (3), c = 12.195 (2) Å, $\alpha = 109.78$ (1), $\beta = 111.74$



Figure 1. A diagram of (a) the RuH(CO)(PPh₃)₂(dtt) and (b) the cis-Pt(PPh₃)₂(dpt)₂ complexes showing relevant bond distances and angles (the estimated standard deviations are 0.01 Å and 0.5° unless otherwise shown). Average values are given for the two crystallographically independent dpt ligands in the Pt complex. The phosphines in the Ru complex have been omitted for clarity.

(1), $\gamma = 65.03$ (2)°, and V = 2154 Å³; Z = 2, $\rho_{calcd} = 1.35$, and $\rho_{obsd} = 1.34 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$. Intensity data were collected on a Picker FACS-I computer-controlled diffractometer using Ni-filtered Cu K α radiation and operating in the θ -2 θ scan mode. The crystal structure was solved and refined by standard procedures. For 5847 independent data with $F_0^2 >$ $3\sigma(F_0^2)$ the R index is 0.038.

As illustrated in Figure 1, the bidentate dtt ligand bonds symmetrically to the Ru atom. The N(1)-N(2)-N(3) angle of 105.2 (3)° and the average N-N bond length of 1.314 (4) Å agree very well with those reported for the $Co(dpt)_3$ complex.¹⁵ The Ru–N(3) distance of 2.179 (3) Å is longer than the Ru-N(1) distance of 2.149 (3) Å owing perhaps to the greater trans influence of the hydrido compared with the carbonyl ligand. The average N to phenyl C distance of 1.415 Å is typical.¹⁷ The atoms of the ditolyltriazenido group are nearly coplanar; this situation contrasts with the $C_0(dpt)_3$ complex in which the two phenyl groups within each dpt ligand are twisted about 20° with respect to one another,¹⁵ presumably a result of placing three dpt ligands in such close proximity.

The $Pt(PPh_3)_2(dpt)_2$ complex was prepared by literature methods.¹ Red-orange crystals of what turned out to be the cis isomer were deposited from the mother liquor (benzene solution) to which methanol had been added. The crystals of cis-Pt(PPh₃)₂(dpt)₂·C₆H₆ belong to space group $P2_1/n$ with a = 10.797 (1), b = 23.048 (3), c = 22.034 (3) Å, $\beta =$ 91.08 (1)°, and $V = 5482 \text{ Å}^3$; Z = 4, $\rho_{\text{calcd}} = 1.44$, and $\rho_{\rm obsd} = 1.43 {\rm g cm^{-3}}$. Intensity data were collected under the same experimental conditions as for the ruthenium complex. The structure was again solved and refined by standard methods. For 7923 independent data having $F_0^2 >$ $3\sigma(F_0^2)$ the *R* index is 0.045.

The relevant bond distances and angles for the cis- $Pt(PPh_3)_2(dpt)_2$ molecule are given in Figure 1. The most

important feature of the structure is the monodentate bonding of both of the dpt ligands to the Pt. The two P atoms and the two Pt-bonded N atoms define the square-planar geometry of the complex. The two N atoms of each of the dpt ligands that are not bonded to the metal atom are 3.0 Å from the Pt. Whereas the two N-N bond lengths are virtually equal in the bidentate Ru complex, owing to the delocalization of the π -electrons in the triazenido moiety, there is a significant difference in the monodentate Pt complex. In the canonical structure, the N-N bond adjacent to the Pt is a single bond, and the bond away from the metal is a double bond; whereas the former bond is indeed longer (1.336 (6) Å) than the latter (1.278 (6) Å) for both dpt ligands, significant π -delocalization is evident when a comparison is made with typical N-N single- and double-bond lengths of 1.44 and 1.24 Å, respectively.¹⁷ The average N-N-N angle of 113.8 (4)° is considerably greater than the 105.2 (3)° found in the bidentate Ru complex; the larger angle compares favorably with the 116° value found in the bridged triazenido complexes of Cu(I)12 and Ni(II).13 This fact emphasizes the strained nature of the Ru-N(1)-N(2)-N(3)four membered ring. As for the Ru complex, all of the atoms in one of the dpt ligands are virtually coplanar. In the second dpt ligand, however, one of the phenyl rings is twisted 43.2° with respect to the other phenyl ring. Thus, the energy differences between the two conformations must be relatively small.

Because not all the bonding capabilities of the triazenido ligand are utilized in this Pt complex, the reaction chemistry of the molecule toward coordinatively unsaturated transition metal systems is being investigated. It appears that the triazenido ligand, which has now been demonstrated to enter into varied bonding modes with one or more transition metals, has a rich chemistry that to date has been only slightly explored.

Acknowledgments. We thank Dr. S. D. Robinson for the original sample of the Ru complex. We thank Matthey-Bishop, Inc., for their generous loan of the Pt metal used in this study. We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the National Science Foundation.

References and Notes

- (1) K. R. Laing, S. D. Robinson, and M. F. Uttley, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1205 (1974).
 (2) W. H. Knoth, *Inorg. Chem.*, 12, 38 (1973).
 (3) S. C. deSanctis, L. Toniolo, T. Boschi, and G. Deganello, *Inorg. Chim.*
- Acta, **12**, 251 (1975). (4) S. D. Robinson and M. F. Uttley, *Chem. Commun.*, 1315 (1971).
- (5) S. D. Robinson and M. F. Uttley, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 184 (1972).
- (6) L. Toniolo, G. DeLuca, and C. Panattoni, Synth. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 3, 221 (1973).
 (7) N. D. Cameron, R. J. Eales, and M. Kilner, Abstract 119, Seventh Inter-
- national Conference on Organometallic Chemistry, Venice, Italy, 1975 (8) J. Kuyper, P. I. van Vliet, and K. Vrieze J. Organomet. Chem., 96, 289
- (1975)
- (9) L. Toniolo, G. Deganello, P. L. Sandrini, and G. Bombieri, *Inorg. Chim.* Acta, 15, 11 (1975).
 (10) F. A. Cotton, T. Inglis, M. Kilner, and T. R. Webb, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14,
- 2023 (1975).
- F. A. Cotton and L. W. Shive, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14, 2027 (1975).
 I. D. Brown and J. D. Dunitz, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 14, 480 (1961).
 M. Corbett and B. F. Hoskins, *Chem. Commun.*, 1602 (1968).
- (14) J. E. O'Connor, G. A. Janusonis, and E. R. Corey, Chem. Commun., 445 (1968).
- (15) (a) M. Corbett and B. F. Hoskins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 1530 (1967); (b) M. Corbett and B. F. Hoskins, Aust. J. Chem., 27, 665 (1975)
- (16) C. M. Harris, B. F. Hoskins, and R. L. Martin, J. Chem. Soc., 3728 (1959).
- (17) 'International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography', Vol. 3, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1968.

Leo D. Brown, James A. Ibers*

Chemistry Department, Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201

Received November 21, 1975